

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMESSECTER OF EXTENTS AND TRADEMARES Pro-Box 150 Advanting Augman 22313-1450 www.spt. p.o.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09 846,375		Craig S. Rendahl	114292.1561	3929		
30734	2590 05 21 2003					
BAKER + H	OSTETLER LLP	EXAMINER				
WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100 1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W.			LUU, THANH X			
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2878			

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

··		Application	No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/846,375			RENDAHL ET AL	L ET AL	
	Examiner			Art Unit	!	
		Thanh X Lu		i i	2878	! <u> </u>
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the o	over	sheet with the co	rrespondence a	ddress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing days and the remaining days and the remaining days are statuted by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months after the mailing days are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Office later than three months are remained by the Of		, howev iry minin expire SI ation to I	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered time ne mailing date of this (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ely. communication.
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	February 200	3 and	I 10 March 2003		
2a) <u></u> ☐	,	his action is r				
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde ion of Ciaims	vance except r <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	for for ayle, 1	mal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 11, 45	osecution as to t 53 O.G. 213.	he merits is
4)	Claim(s) 2-4,6-18 and 21-27 is/are pending i	n the applicat	ion.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			tion.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊡	Claim(s) <u>2-4,6-18 and 21-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election re	quiren	nent.		
,	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) 🔲 (bjecte	ed to by the Exan	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) l	e held	d in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
11)⊡	The proposed drawing correction filed on 05 F	ebruary 2003	is: a)	⊠ approved b)[disapproved	by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Offi	ce acti	ion.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority und	ler 35	U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have beer	recei	ived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have beer	recei	ived in Application	on No	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT I	Rule 1	7.2(a)).		ıl Stage
14)[•]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority un	der 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provision	al application).
	a) The translation of the foreign language parts to the foreign language parts. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional ap	olicatio	on has been rec	eived.	
Attachmei	nt(s)					
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)) <u>11</u> .	4)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal F Other:	(PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (F	
S Patent and	Trademark Office Office	Action Summar	٧		Part of Paper No.	19

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/846,375

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 Applicant's submission filed on February 5, 2003 has been entered.

Claims 2-4, 6-18 and 21-27 are currently pending.

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on February 5, 2003 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 12 and 21 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The language of claims 12 and 21 do not further limit the independent claim because "a laser beam source" is broader than "a modulated laser beam source."

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4, 6-14, 16-18, 21-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell et al. in view of the publication of Doemens et al. (EP 1048961).

Regarding claims 2-4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 16, 21, 22, 24 and 26, McConnell et al. disclose (see Figure 3 and column 4, lines 20-40) an apparatus and method for measuring a speed or acceleration of a vehicle traveling on a vehicle path, comprising: a first radiation source or means (on beacon) that emits radiation arranged at a first side of the vehicle path; a first reflector (1) arranged on a second, opposite side of the vehicle path from the first radiation source that reflects radiation emitted from the first radiation source back towards the first side of the vehicle path; a first detector (on beacon; not shown, see column 4, lines 20-40) arranged at the first side of the vehicle path that receives the reflected radiation from the first reflector and detects a presence or absence of the reflected radiation; a second radiation source (on beacon) that emits radiation arranged at the first side of the vehicle path; a second reflector (2) arranged on the second, opposite side of the vehicle path from the second radiation source that reflects emitted radiation from the second radiation source back towards the first side of the vehicle path; a second detector (on beacon; not shown) arranged at the first side of

Application/Control Number: 09/846,375

Art Unit: 2878

the vehicle path that receives the reflected radiation from the second reflector and detects a presence or absence of the reflected radiation; and a controller (inherent) connected to the first and second detectors that calculates the speed of the vehicle in response the first and second detectors (see column 4, lines 20-40, "velocity estimate"). That is, since a velocity is estimated in McConnell et al. a controller is inherent in the apparatus or method. McConnell et al. also disclose (see Figure 4 and column 4, lines 20-40) "the system has two emitter/detector pairs (not shown)" and the pairs supported on a bar unit or permanent installation on a side of the path. McConnell et al. further disclose the reflectors are retroreflective units and affixed into a permanent installation. McConnell et al. do not specifically disclose each of the radiation sources is a modulated laser beam source. Doemens et al. teach (see abstract) using a modulated laser source beam source to measure speed of a vehicle. Doemens et al. recognize that modulated laser beams allow for more accurate speed measurements by reducing interference from ambient radiation. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide modulated laser beam sources in the apparatus and method of McConnell et al. in view of Doemens et al. to improve speed detection.

Regarding claims 6, 7, 10, 11, 17 and 18, McConnell et al. in view of Doemens et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. McConnell et al. do not specifically disclose a second bar unit or a plurality of permanent installations having a pair of sender/detector units spaced at a desired interval from the first bar unit. However, it has been held that mere duplication of parts requires only routine skill in the

Application/Control Number: 09/846,375 Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

art. *In re Harza* 124 USPQ 378. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a second bar unit in the apparatus of McConnell et al. in view of Doemens et al. to detect the speed of a vehicle at a different point along the vehicle path. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connect the bar units in order to improve stability of the units. Similarly, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of pairs of first and second reflectors.

Regarding claims 14 and 23, McConnell et al. and Doemens et al. do not specifically disclose the specific rate of modulation. However, the rate of modulation is a matter of design choice. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a rate of 20kHz-200kHz in the apparatus of McConnell et al. in view of Doemens et al. to reduce interference from ambient radiation and improve detection.

6. Claims 15, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell et al. in view of the publication of Doemens et al. and further in view of Johnson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,812,249).

Regarding claims 15, 25 and 27, McConnell et al. in view of Doemens et al disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. McConnell et al. and Doemens et al do not specifically disclose a tilt sensor and calculating a power. Johnson et al. teach combining the speed sensor with a pollution sensor. Thus, Johnson et al. recognize that speed sensors can be combined with other sensors in order to provide more

Application/Control Number: 09/846,375 Page 6

Art Unit: 2878

thorough detection. Furthermore, tilt sensors are well known sensors in vehicles. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide additional sensors and calculate additional values in the apparatus of McConnell et al. in view of Doemens et al. and Johnson et al. to consolidate sensors and to provide a more complete detection of the vehicle.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed February 5, 2003, with respect to Johnson et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections over Johnson et al. with respect to a modulated laser beam have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Doemens et al.
- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X. Luu whose telephone number is (703) 305-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta, can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,375

Art Unit: 2878

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

txl May 12, 2003 Thanh X. Luu Patent Examiner